

Excel-Based Computational Template for Irrigation Scheduling Using Dual Crop Coefficients

Abstract

We developed an Excel-based computational template Extension educators can use to assist clientele with scheduling irrigation for efficient use of water. With the template, the user applies the dual crop coefficient method to calculate evaporation and transpiration rates separately, with the result being more accurate soil water tracking as compared to what occurs when a single crop coefficient is used. Crop water needs can be conveniently calculated on the basis of soil characteristics, crop growth stages, and weather information. Application examples demonstrate that the amount and frequency of irrigation should be adjusted according to soil texture. The template and application examples are available to Extension professionals as electronic supplementary material.

Keywords: [irrigation scheduling](#), [dual crop coefficients](#), [FAO-56 method](#), [Excel template](#), [Penman-Monteith equation](#)

Younggu Her
Assistant Professor
Agricultural and
Biological Engineering
Department
Tropical Research and
Education Center
University of Florida
Homestead, Florida
yher@ufl.edu
[@HerYounggu](https://twitter.com/HerYounggu)

Kelly T. Morgan
Professor
Soil and Water
Science Department
Southwest Florida
Research and
Education Center
University of Florida
Immokalee, Florida
conserv@ufl.edu

Qingren Wang
Extension Specialist,
Commercial Vegetable
Agent and Pesticide
Trainer
Miami-Dade County
Extension
University of Florida
Homestead, Florida
qrwang@ufl.edu

Introduction

Evapotranspiration (ET) controls the moisture content of soil, one of the most important freshwater storages in the earth (McColl et al., 2017). Thus, estimating the amount of water evaporated from soil and transpired from vegetation is a necessary process in many engineering and science fields, such as agricultural water management, water resources planning, and hydrologic analysis. A convenient way to calculate an actual ET rate for crop plants (ET_c) is to use a crop coefficient (K_{cb}) method based on a reference ET rate estimate and the types of plants located in an area of interest (Irmak & Haman, 2003; Morgan, Obreza, Scholberg, Parsons, & Wheaton, 2006; Zotarelli, Dukes, Romero, Migliaccio, & Morgan, 2010). There are two approaches to the crop coefficient method. With the single crop coefficient approach, the single coefficient used is the averaged effects of evaporation from soil and transpiration from vegetation for the cropped surface. With the dual crop coefficient approach,

Tools of the Trade Excel-Based Computational Template for Irrigation Scheduling Using Dual Crop Coefficients JOE 57(1) evaporation from soil and transpiration from vegetation are considered as two independent coefficients (Allen, Pereira, Raes, & Smith, 1998). The single crop coefficient approach is relatively simple, but the dual crop coefficient approach can more effectively address a soil moisture condition and plant drought stress that can limit evaporation and transpiration, respectively, in an actual ET rate estimation.

Despite its expected accuracy, the dual crop coefficient approach has not been widely used in practice due to the complexity of the required calculation procedures. To address this situation, we developed an Excel-based computational template that Extension professionals and irrigation practitioners can use to develop irrigation scheduling based on the dual crop coefficient method. Excel-based computing templates have been developed as tools that aid Extension professionals in their capacity building efforts (Barbosa, 2013; Bowser, Holcomb, & Kerr, 2018; Johnson & Dahlke, 2015; Patterson, 2011; Raper, DeVuyst, & Doye, 2010). The Excel template and two sample application examples are provided as supplementary material for this article

(https://joe.org/joe/2019february/Computational_Template_for_Irrigation_Scheduling_Using_Dual_Crop_Coefficients.xlsx) to help Extension educators and irrigation practitioners understand and apply the calculation procedures for the ET-based irrigation scheduling. Additionally, the article describes the calculations and assumptions on which the tool is based.

FAO-56 Dual Crop Coefficient Method

The dual crop coefficient approach was proposed as a part of the FAO-56 method for improving the accuracy of ET_c estimation using the basal crop and soil evaporation coefficients (Allen, 2000; Allen et al., 1998). The basal crop coefficient (K_{cb}) represents the ratio of ET_c for specific vegetation to the reference ET in the cropped areas where soils hold moisture well enough to sustain full plant transpiration. The soil evaporation coefficient (K_e) addresses evaporation from the soil surface. The relationship among the coefficients is

$$K_c = K_{cb} \cdot K_s + K_e,$$

where K_c is an actual crop coefficient, K_{cb} is a basal crop coefficient, K_s is a water stress coefficient, and K_e is a soil evaporation coefficient. The basal crop coefficient (K_{cb}) is expressed as a function of weather variables:

$$K_{cb} = K_{cb(std)} + [0.04(u_2 - 2) - 0.004(RH_{min} - 45)]\left(\frac{h}{3}\right)^{0.3},$$

where $K_{cb(std)}$ is a basal crop coefficient for the standard condition, u_2 is a mean daily wind speed at 2 m height (m/s), RH_{min} is a mean daily minimum relative humidity (%), and h is a mean plant height. The soil evaporation coefficient (K_e) is determined by considering soil moisture condition:

$$K_e = \min(K_r(K_{c,max} - K_{cb}), f_{ew} \cdot K_{c,max}),$$

where K_r is a dimensionless evaporation reduction coefficient dependent on the cumulative depth of water depleted or evaporated from the topsoil, $K_{c,max}$ is the maximum value of K_c following a rain or irrigation event, and f_{ew} is a fraction of the soil that is both exposed and wetted (the fraction of the soil surface from which most evaporation occurs).

Parameters and Assumptions

ET rates are calculated on the basis of the reference ET (ET_0) and the amount of water infiltrated into the soil in the FAO-56 method. The ET_0 is determined by weather, and it is estimated through use of the Penman-Monteith ET equation (Zotarelli et al., 2010). The infiltration rate may be computed using an infiltration model such as Horton's equation or the Natural Resources Conservation Service's curve number method combined with a continuity equation. Assumptions are that irrigation and a rainfall event occur early in a day and that a rainfall event is not followed by an irrigation application. The depth of the surface soil layer that is subject to drying by way of evaporation is set to 0.10 m (0.33 ft) in the template, and a user may want to adjust it to somewhere between 0.10 m and 0.15 m depending on soil textures (0.10 m for coarse soils and 0.15 m for fine soils [Allen et al., 1998]). The amount of the total evaporable water from the soil and the amount of readily evaporable soil water are calculated automatically on the basis of the soil texture (Table 19 of Allen et al., 1998). The parameter values are determined by data the user enters in the "Crop and Soil Characteristics" section in the template. For instance, the amounts of total evaporable water that can be evaporated from the soil and readily evaporable soil water are calculated as functions of field capacity, wilting point, and soil depth, which are determined on the basis of soil texture types specified in the template by the user (Allen et al., 1998).

Application Examples

Sample applications of the Excel-based template for determining irrigation timing are provided in the supplementary material for this article, and screen captures of two examples that can be found in the template are shown in Figure 1. In the first example ("Example_Template_Example_1" worksheet in the template and Figure 1a herein), irrigation is to be applied when the amount of readily available soil water in the root zone is depleted. The calculation shows that there is no need to apply water to the tomato field with sandy loam soil after the irrigation of 40 mm (1.57 in) on the first day or after the rainfall event (or infiltration) of 20 mm (0.79 in) on the fifth day. In the second example ("Example_Template_Example_2" worksheet in the template and Figure 1b herein), the same weather conditions as those of the first example are assumed, but the field will need additional water applications of 13 mm on the fourth day and 14 mm on the ninth day to satisfy the crop water requirement in the sandy soil. A comparison between the two examples shows that the small field capacity makes the sandy soil require more frequent water application than the sandy loam soil.

Figure 1.

Sample Views of the Excel-Based Computational Template

(a) Irrigation Timing Calculation Example for a Sandy Loam Soil

A	B	C	D	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	AA	AI	
1	Location				Weather Forecast						Results		
2	Latitude: Type >	25.67			Day	High Temp. (Cel. Deg.)	Low Temp. (Cel. Deg.)	Precip. (mm)	Wind (km/hour)	Humidity (%)	Day	Irrigation (mm)	Excess or Loss (mm)
3	Longitude: Type >	-80.40			Day 1	25	18	0	21	65	Day 1	40	18.4
4	Region: Select >	Eastern			Day 2	23	19	0	17	70	Day 2	0	0.0
5	Date (MM/DD/YYYY): Enter ->	12/01/17			Day 3	27	20	0	21	72	Day 3	0	0.0
6	Crop and Soil Characteristics				Day 4	29	21	0	23	74	Day 4	0	0.0
7	Items	Values	Unit		Day 5	27	19	20	14	76	Day 5	0	0.0
8	Crop Type: Select >	Tomato	None		Day 6	28	16	0	17	79	Day 6	0	0.0
9	Crop Growth Stage: Select >	Initial Stage	None		Day 7	25	13	0	19	69	Day 7	0	0.0
10	Crop Height: Type >	0.05	m		Day 8	23	14	0	22	56	Day 8	0	0.0
11	Soil Texture: Select >	Sandy Loam	None		Day 9	22	14	0	23	62	Day 9	0	0.0
12	Irrigation Method: Select >	Furrow, Narrow Bed	None		Day 10	24	15	0	22	74	Day 10	0	0.0
13	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
14	Field Capacity	0.23	Fraction										
15	Wilting Point	0.11	Fraction										
16	TAW	36	mm										
17	RAW	22	mm										
18	TEW	17.5	mm										
19	REW	8	mm										
20	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
21	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
22	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
23	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
24	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
25	TAW	21	mm										
26	RAW	13	mm										
27	TEW	9.5	mm										
28	REW	4.5	mm										
29	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
30	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
31	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
32	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
33	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
34	TAW	21	mm										
35	RAW	13	mm										
36	TEW	9.5	mm										
37	REW	4.5	mm										
38	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
39	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
40	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
41	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
42	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
43	TAW	21	mm										
44	RAW	13	mm										
45	TEW	9.5	mm										
46	REW	4.5	mm										
47	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
48	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
49	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
50	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
51	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
52	TAW	21	mm										
53	RAW	13	mm										
54	TEW	9.5	mm										
55	REW	4.5	mm										
56	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
57	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
58	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
59	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
60	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
61	TAW	21	mm										
62	RAW	13	mm										
63	TEW	9.5	mm										
64	REW	4.5	mm										
65	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
66	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
67	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
68	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
69	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
70	TAW	21	mm										
71	RAW	13	mm										
72	TEW	9.5	mm										
73	REW	4.5	mm										
74	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
75	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
76	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
77	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
78	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
79	TAW	21	mm										
80	RAW	13	mm										
81	TEW	9.5	mm										
82	REW	4.5	mm										
83	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
84	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
85	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
86	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
87	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
88	TAW	21	mm										
89	RAW	13	mm										
90	TEW	9.5	mm										
91	REW	4.5	mm										
92	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
93	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
94	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
95	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
96	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
97	TAW	21	mm										
98	RAW	13	mm										
99	TEW	9.5	mm										
100	REW	4.5	mm										
101	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
102	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
103	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
104	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
105	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
106	TAW	21	mm										
107	RAW	13	mm										
108	TEW	9.5	mm										
109	REW	4.5	mm										
110	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
111	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
112	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
113	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
114	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
115	TAW	21	mm										
116	RAW	13	mm										
117	TEW	9.5	mm										
118	REW	4.5	mm										
119	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
120	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
121	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
122	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
123	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
124	TAW	21	mm										
125	RAW	13	mm										
126	TEW	9.5	mm										
127	REW	4.5	mm										
128	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
129	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
130	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
131	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
132	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
133	TAW	21	mm										
134	RAW	13	mm										
135	TEW	9.5	mm										
136	REW	4.5	mm										
137	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
138	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
139	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
140	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
141	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
142	TAW	21	mm										
143	RAW	13	mm										
144	TEW	9.5	mm										
145	REW	4.5	mm										
146	Depletion Factor	0.60	None										
147	kcb (Table)	0.15	None										
148	Other Parameters (Automatically Calculated)												
149	Field Capacity	0.12	Fraction										
150	Wilting Point	0.05	Fraction										
151	TAW	21	mm										
152	RAW	13	mm										
153	TEW	9.5	mm										
154	REW	4.5	mm										

Johnson, S., & Dahlke, G. (2015). Estrus synchronization planner spreadsheet helps beef producers implement artificial insemination programs. *Journal of Extension*, 53(2), Article 3TOT9. Available at: <https://www.joe.org/joe/2015june/tt9.php>

McColl, K. A., Alemohammad, S. H., Akbar, R., Konings, A. G., Yueh, S., & Entekhabi, D. (2017). The global distribution and dynamics of surface soil moisture. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(2), 100–104.
doi:10.1038/ngeo2868

Morgan, K. T., Obreza, T. A., Scholberg, J. M. S., Parsons, L. R., & Wheaton, T. A. (2006). Citrus water uptake dynamics on a sandy Florida Entisol. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 70(1), 90–97.
doi:10.2136/sssaj2005.0016

Patterson, R. K. (2011). Boom sprayer calibration made easy with an Excel spreadsheet program. *Journal of Extension*, 49(1), Article 1TOT7. Available at: <https://joe.org/joe/2011february/tt7.php>

Raper, K. C., DeVuyst, E. A., & Doye, D. (2010). A beef calf retention decision tool. *Journal of Extension*, 48(4), Article 4TOT6. Available at: <https://www.joe.org/joe/2010august/tt6.php>

Zotarelli, L., Dukes, M. D., Romero, C. C., Migliaccio, K. W., & Morgan, K. T. (2010). Step by step calculation of the Penman-Monteith Evapotranspiration (FAO-56 Method). *IFAS Extension EDIS*, AE459. Retrieved from <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ae459>

Copyright © by Extension Journal, Inc. ISSN 1077-5315. Articles appearing in the Journal become the property of the Journal. Single copies of articles may be reproduced in electronic or print form for use in educational or training activities. Inclusion of articles in other publications, electronic sources, or systematic large-scale distribution may be done only with prior electronic or written permission of the [Journal Editorial Office, joe-ed@joe.org](mailto:joe-ed@joe.org).

If you have difficulties viewing or printing this page, please contact [JOE Technical Support](#)