



February 2011
Volume 49 Number 1
Article Number 1IAW1

[Return to Current Issue](#)

Preparing Volunteers to Meet the Developmental Needs of Youth Audiences

Lynn Schmitt-McQuitty

4-H Youth Development Advisor
University of California Cooperative Extension
Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties
Watsonville and Salinas, California
lschmittmcquitty@ucdavis.edu

Martin H. Smith

Associate Specialist in Cooperative Extension
Veterinary Medicine Extension
University of California
Davis, California
mhsmith@ucdavis.edu

Jane Chin Young

4-H Youth Development Advisor
University of California Cooperative Extension
Marin County
Novato, California
jchin@ucdavis.edu

Abstract: 4-H volunteers and staff are often faced with providing educational programs and opportunities for youth with wide ranges of age and ability. To address this challenge, researchers from the University of California Cooperative Extension developed and tested a Best Practices Matrix that outlines domain-specific, age-appropriate characteristics of youth and their applications to 4-H programming. Post-implementation surveys that included six forced-response and five open-ended questions were collected from 48 adult volunteers who attended volunteer development workshops. Outcomes indicated that participants improved their understanding of youths' developmental characteristics and how to use the matrix to help improve their project and activities.

Introduction

Volunteers are most commonly involved in the 4-H Youth Development Program as non-formal educators who lead projects and activities with youth (Boyd, 2004). Over 550,000 adults are engaged in this capacity nationally on an annual basis (USDA, 2006), and without their commitment of time and energy, the 4-H Youth Development Program could not operate effectively (Boyd; Stedman & Rudd, 2006). However, to be effective, volunteers must have access to training opportunities and educational resources (Hoover & Connor, 2001).

Effective teaching requires that educators differentiate their instruction to meet the needs of their learners (Anderson, 2007). Differentiated instruction means understanding the differences between learners and applying this understanding to planning lessons that maximize the educational impacts on the individuals involved (Anderson, 2007). Differences such as learning styles, skills, and interests can have profound effects on whether or not an educational activity achieves the desired learning outcomes (Anderson, 2007).

In 4-H, projects and published curricula are typically organized around or designed according to specific age groups: 5-8 year-olds, 9-11 year-olds, 12-14 year-olds, and 15-19 year-olds. These groupings are not random; rather, they are based on research related to the developmental characteristics of youth that are both linear (e.g., physical size) and dynamic (e.g., behavior) (Katz, 1996). However, because 4-H projects and activities frequently include youth of mixed age groups, understanding these developmental characteristics becomes essential to volunteers as they plan and implement programs.

Addressing Developmental Characteristics of Youth: A Best Practices Matrix

The developmental characteristics of youth are categorized according to ages and domains of youth development (i.e., physical, cognitive, social, emotional). For each of the four defined age groups, there are specific developmental characteristics within each of the four domains. Characteristics of youth are age specific within each domain and thus have implications relative to the design and implementation of 4-H projects and activities. According to Silliman (2007), it is important to understand and use these domains effectively when planning 4-H programs, and that by doing so, practitioners can "improve their knowledge and skills" (p. 6).

Based on Silliman's (2007) work and results from a statewide survey of 4-H staff in California (Dasher et al., 2005), it was determined that a comprehensive resource on youth development concepts that included strategies for authentic application was needed for volunteers. To aid in addressing this need, Extension personnel from the University of California developed the Best Practices Matrix (Figure 1) that outlines domain-specific, age-appropriate characteristics of youth, applicable to 4-H programming. Anchored in similar work (McFarland et al., n.d.; Levings, 2006; Ponzio, Junge, Manglallan, & Smith, 2000; Smith, 1994; Tomek & Williams, 1999), the Best Practices Matrix provides educators a resource to compare developmental characteristics within different domains and across four age groups, or focus on characteristics within each age group and/or domain individually. What distinguishes this matrix from most previous work is the inclusion of best practices that provide practitioners with examples of potential program applications.

Figure 1.
Best Practices Matrix

Physical Development

Focus	Characteristics of 5 – 8 year-olds	Characteristics of 9 – 11 year-olds	Characteristics of 12 – 14 year-olds	Characteristics of 15 – 19 year-olds
Physical Development	Youth are mastering physical skills, exhibiting better command of large muscles (e.g., legs) than small muscles (e.g., hands and fingers).	Youth are physically stronger and exhibit better balance and coordination; small muscle coordination is advancing.	Both genders experience physical changes (e.g., height, voice, secondary sex characteristics) that are rapid and profound. Physical strength, balance, and muscle coordination continue to advance.	Most teens have gone through puberty. Physical strength, balance, and muscle coordination are well developed.
Maturation Rates	Physical growth for this age group is generally gradual and consistent across gender.	Youth are maturing physically at different rates. Most females will be maturing faster than their male counterparts with some females entering puberty.	Sexual maturation and growth rates vary within and between genders; however, changes in females generally occur sooner than for males.	Rates of sexual maturity and growth are similar between genders.
	Best Practices for 5 – 8 year-olds	Best Practices for 9 – 11 year-olds	Best Practices for 12 – 14 year-olds	Best Practices for 15 – 19 year-olds
Program Applications	Provide physical experiences that utilize both large and small muscles, but do not require precision or detail for the youth to be successful.	Provide active learning experiences that allow for more precision-oriented tasks.	Provide fun, active learning experiences such as sports and games.	Youth have achieved a high level of physical competence; activities that require detail, precision, and/or physical endurance are appropriate.

Cognitive Development

Focus	Characteristics of 5 – 8 year-olds	Characteristics of 9 – 11 year-olds	Characteristics of 12 – 14 year-olds	Characteristics of 15 – 19 year-olds
Thinking Strategies	Youth this age are concrete thinkers. They base their thinking in the present (here and now) and in absolutes (e.g., right or wrong; yes or no).	Favor concrete thinking, but begin to use reasoning skills and abstract thought. Youth vary greatly in cognitive abilities.	Youth exhibit abilities to think abstractly and hypothetically. Beginning to develop skills using logic; can use reason to understand results and consequences.	Youth are competent abstract thinkers. Have the ability to process information and ideas to explain how and why things occur.
Interests and Attention	This age group is naturally curious about their immediate environment and relies heavily upon sensory experiences. They generally have a short attention span.	Creative; curious; eager to try new things. Interested in collections and hobbies.	Interests broaden; youth are curious about the world beyond their immediate environment. Begin to show interest in regional, national and global issues.	Exhibit a sense of community awareness and concern for others. Develop personal philosophies and enjoy sharing their knowledge and ideas.
	Best Practices for 5 – 8 year-olds	Best Practices for 9 – 11 year-olds	Best Practices for 12 – 14 year-olds	Best Practices for 15 – 19 year-olds
Program Applications	The experience is more important than the end product. Activities for this age group should be short, sensory-oriented, and involve physical movement.	Promote success for all participants by planning a variety of short activities for a range of abilities and interests. Directions should be to the point and not too complex.	Engage youth in problem-solving activities; provide supervision, but do not interfere with the process. Encourage youth to evaluate the results of their efforts; provide assistance only when necessary.	To maintain the youths' interest, provide relevant, meaningful, problem-solving activities. Youth are capable of generating and implementing their own activity ideas. Youth should evaluate the outcomes of their efforts.

Emotional Development

Focus	Characteristics of 5 – 8 year-olds	Characteristics of 9 – 11 year-olds	Characteristics of 12 – 14 year-olds	Characteristics of 15 – 19 year-olds
Self-perception	Self-centered and sensitive. Express a wide range of feelings. Easily upset by criticism, failure, or changes in plans or routines.	Self-confidence and self-esteem can be fragile. Need recognition and praise.	Youth can be highly self-conscious and self-critical. Changing body images may result in anxiety and embarrassment. Youth are at risk of having low self-esteem.	Feelings of being inferior and/or inadequate are prevalent among this age group. Some males may still be going through puberty and may be uncomfortable with their changing body image
Dependence/Autonomy	Strongly dependent on adults and seek adult attention. Willing to help and desire to please.	Begin questioning parental authority, but look to those "in charge" to help guide appropriate behavior. Admire and imitate older youth.	Mood swings are common. Independence is desired, but youth still require parental guidance.	Achieving independence from adults; cultivating own identity.
	Best Practices for 5 – 8 year-olds	Best Practices for 9 – 11 year-olds	Best Practices for 12 – 14 year-olds	Best Practices for 15 – 19 year-olds
Program Applications	Focus on cooperative activities so all youth have the opportunity to experience success. Avoid competition and comparisons. Low youth/adult ratio for activities is desirable. Be available to discuss feelings.	Positive feedback is essential. Allow youth to identify their own progress; comparisons with others can be detrimental to self-confidence and self-esteem. Suggested improvements should be done individually and in a caring and consistent fashion.	Plan activities that help youth build skills without comparing them to others or singling them out among their peers. Do not use put-downs or sarcasm.	Plan activities where youth have increased responsibility within their roles. Provide opportunities for youth to be accountable to themselves and others. Communicate in a supportive and constructive fashion that treats youth as young adults.

Social Development

Focus	Characteristics of 5 – 8 year-olds	Characteristics of 9 – 11 year-olds	Characteristics of 12 – 14 year-olds	Characteristics of 15 – 19 year-olds
Interactions	Youth are beginning to explore social settings (e.g., clubs, sports, youth groups) outside of their homes, but still need adult approval.	Youth express themselves by guiding their own activities. They enjoy teamwork, but may begin exhibiting a sense of competition.	Youth begin to exhibit more independence, shifting from a reliance on parents to forming own values. However, adult approval is still important.	Youth are more independent; exhibit an increased willingness to accept responsibility and fill adult leadership roles.
Relationships	Friendships are evolving, with possibly more than one "best friend." Youth continue to be self-interested, but are starting to develop empathy. Cross-gender play takes place, with same gender favored at the end of this stage.	Youth enjoy group activities and exhibit group loyalty. They hold older youth in high regard and try to emulate them. Require adult direction to remain on task.	Youth are concerned about peer relationships and personal appearance. Excessive grooming behavior is common and normal.	Youth seek individual identity, yet they also seek status within their peer groups. Their interest in mixed gender activities and dating increases.
	Best Practices for 5 – 8 year-olds	Best Practices for 9 – 11 year-olds	Best Practices for 12 – 14 year-olds	Best Practices for 15 – 19 year-olds
Program Applications	Youth still need individual attention. Small group and mixed gender activities and allow exercising social skills. Cooperative rather than competitive environments are encouraged. Use interactive experiences.	Youth prefer same gender groups that plan their own activities. This age group benefits from interactions with older youth as role models/mentors. Adult leadership is important.	Provide experiences for youth to learn about themselves. Include opportunities for youth to plan mixed gender activities.	Promote activities that encourage individual development. Create a supportive peer environment. Provide mixed gender, self-planned activities with leadership roles such as spokespersons, coordinators, coaches or tutors, group leaders, or mentors.

Methods

The Best Practices Matrix was field-tested with 4-H volunteers through interactive workshops. Volunteers were asked to draw upon their prior knowledge and experience, and use the Best Practices Matrix to plan an

event or activity for 4-H youth using project group profiles provided by the workshop facilitators. Project group profiles were designed to simulate 4-H project rosters and included information such as youths' ages and genders, size of groups, and the number of years enrolled in 4-H. The participants used the Best Practices Matrix as a reference to help guide them in the development of activities that addressed domain-specific, age-appropriate characteristics of the youth represented in the profiles.

At the conclusion of the workshops, participants were asked to complete a survey that included six forced-response and five open-ended questions. The purpose of the survey was to assess participants' understanding of the developmental characteristics of different age groups, the Best Practices Matrix, and how they intended to use this tool in their future work as 4-H volunteers.

Results

Survey results were collected from 48 adult volunteers who attended one of five workshops. Participants represented an array of volunteer roles, including project leaders, community club leaders, and council officers, with an experience in a variety of delivery modes (e.g., clubs, camps, afterschool programs). Seventy percent of the participants had less than 5 years of experience as 4-H volunteers; 10% had 6-10 years of experience; 10% had more than 15 years; and 10% did not indicate their level of experience. Specific survey results revealed the following.

- 92% of workshop participants agreed or strongly agreed that their understanding of the differences between cognitive, emotional, social, and physical development was better after participating in the workshop than before. Open-ended responses from participants suggested that they would apply information such as knowing when to insert fine-motor tasks into projects and activities, and how domain-specific characteristics associated with different age groups can affect youths' interests.
- 90% of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that they had a better understanding of age-specific developmental characteristics of youth after attending the workshop than before. They commented that they believed this understanding would allow them to better meet the needs of 4-H youth in their programs by choosing activities and tailoring projects for different ages.
- 92% agreed or strongly agreed that they would be able to use the Best Practices Matrix to assist them in project planning, training and teaching others, and working with teen and junior leaders.
- 94% of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that other 4-H volunteers would benefit from participating in a similar workshop.

Discussion

Effective volunteer development is critical to the success of the 4-H Youth Development Program (Hoover & Connor, 2001; Kaslon, Lodi, & Greve, 2005; Smith, Meehan, Enfield, George, & Young, 2004; Van Winkle, Busler, Bowman, & Manooigan, 2002). One component of effective volunteer development is to provide materials and informational resources necessary to support volunteers in successfully executing their responsibilities as non-formal educators (Kerka, 2003; Tingley, 2001). The Best Practices Matrix can help staff provide critical information related to core competencies for volunteers identified by Culp, McKee, and Nestor (2001) and help volunteers understand what is expected of them in their role within the 4-H Program.

The Best Practices Matrix can also benefit 4-H volunteers by serving as a practical resource that builds their capacity to organize, plan, and deliver educational projects and activities for youth. Most important, however, is that by understanding the needs of youth as learners and incorporating those requirements and conditions into 4-H programming, the possibility that youth will learn through positive, developmentally appropriate experiences will be enhanced.

Conclusion

Differentiated instruction is an important element of effective teaching (Anderson, 2007). The learning styles, skills, and interests of target audiences must be considered and addressed if desired learning outcomes of educational interventions are to be achieved (Anderson, 2007). The Best Practices Matrix is a comprehensive resource that outlines domain-specific, age-appropriate characteristics of youth and is applicable to 4-H programming. The matrix can be used to enhance volunteer development and educational programming and can help 4-H staff build and maintain a volunteer corps, elements that have been identified as necessary for successful county-based educational programming (Subramaniam, Heck, & Carlos, 2008; Van Winkle, Busler, Bowman, & Manoogian, 2002).

References

- Anderson, K. M. (2007). Differentiating instruction to include all students. *Preventing School Failure*, 51(3): 49-54.
- Boyd, B. L. (2004). Extension agents as administrators of volunteers: Competencies needed for the future. *Journal of Extension* [On-line], 42(2) Article 2FEA4. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2004april/a4.php>
- Culp, K., McKee, R. K., & Nestor, P. (2007). Identifying volunteer core competencies: Regional differences. *Journal of Extension*, [On-line], 43(5) Article 6FEA3. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2007december/a3.php>
- Dasher, H. S., Frazell, J., Gregory, P. F., Pollard, M. Y., Schmitt-McQuitty, L., Snell, D., Sousa, C., & Young, J. Volunteer development survey. Unpublished manuscript, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of California, Davis, CA.
- Hoover, T., & Connor, N. J. (2001). Preferred learning styles of Florida association for family and community education volunteers: implications for professional development. *Journal of Extension* [On-line], 39(3) Article 3FEA3. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2001june/a3.php>
- Kaslon, L., Lodl, K., & Greve, V. (2005). Online leader training for 4-H volunteers: A case study of action research. *Journal of Extension* [On-line], 43(2) Article 2FEA4. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2005april/a4.php>
- Katz, L. G. (1996). Child development knowledge and teacher preparation: Confronting assumptions. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 11(2), 135-146.
- Kerka, S. (2003). Volunteer development (Practice Application Brief No. 26). Columbus, OH: ERIC Clearinghouse on Adult, Career, and Vocational Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED475 111)
- Levings, J. (December, 2006). Iowa State University. How kids develop: Ages and stages of youth

development. Iowa 4-H Volunteer. Iowa State University, University Extension. Retrieved February 15, 2011 from: <https://www.extension.iastate.edu/4H/Documents/VI950902FAgesStages.PDF>

McFarland, M., Betts, S.C., Walker, J.E., Mancini, J., Goggin, S., Huebner, A., Bonner, L. (n.d.). *Moving ahead, Preparing the youth development professional*. U.S. Army Community and Family Support Center and the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cooperative State Research, Education, and Exrtension Service under Kansas State University. #95-EXCA3-0378. Session V, pages LP 63 â 72 and pages 53 â 72.

Ponzio, R., Junge, S., Manglallan, S., & Smith, M. (2000). *Youth experiences in science project*. University of California Cooperative Extension, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Section 3, pages 61 â 76.

Silliman, B. (2007). Critical indicators for youth development outcomes for 4-H national mission mandates. National 4-H Headquarters. Retrieved February 15, 2011 from: http://www.national4-hheadquarters.gov/library/Indicators_4H_MM.pdf

Smith, B. A. (1994). *New Jersey 4-H leader training series, Working with 4-H youth in 1st to 3rd grades*. Rutgers Cooperative Extension, New Brunswick New Jersey. Pages 403 â 404.

Smith, M. H., Meehan, C. L., Enfield, R. P., George, J. L., & Young, J. C. (2004). Improving county-based science programs: Bringing out the science teacher in your volunteer leaders. *Journal of Extension* [On-line], 42(6) Article 6FEA5. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2004december/a5.php>

Stedman, N. L. P. & Rudd, R. (2006). Leadership styles and volunteer administration competence: Perceptions of 4-H county faculty in the United States. *Journal of Extension* [On-line], 44(1) Article 1RIB6. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2006february/rb6.php>

Subramaniam, A., Heck, K., & Carlos, R. (2008) *4-H staff professional development: Identifying training needs across the state*. Monographs of the 4-H Center for Youth Development, Winter 2008. Available at: http://cyd.ucdavis.edu/publications/pubs/report/pdf/cyd_report_08summer.pdf

Tingley, J. (2001). Volunteer programs: When good intentions aren't enough. *Educational Leadership*, 58(7): 53-55.

Tomek, J., & Williams, M.J. (1999). *Ages and stages of 4-H youth development*. University of Missouri Extension, University of Missouri-Columbia. LG 782.

Van Winkle, R., Busler, S., Bowman, S., & Manoogian, M. (2002). Adult volunteer development: Addressing the effectiveness of training new 4-H leaders. *Journal of Extension* [On-line],40(6) Article 6FEA4. Available at: <http://www.joe.org/joe/2002december/a4.php>

Copyright © by Extension Journal, Inc. ISSN 1077-5315. Articles appearing in the Journal become the property of the Journal. Single copies of articles may be reproduced in electronic or print form for use in educational or training activities. Inclusion of articles in other publications, electronic sources, or systematic large-scale distribution may be done only with prior electronic or written permission of the *Journal Editorial Office*, joe-ed@joe.org.

If you have difficulties viewing or printing this page, please contact [JOE Technical Support](#).